

Analyzing Emotional Facial Expressions' Neural Correlates Using Event-Related Potentials and Eye Fixation-Related Potentials

Emmanuelle Kristensen¹, Raphaëlle Roy², Bertrand Rivet¹, Anne Tcherkassof³ & Anne Guérin-Dugué¹

emmanuelle.kristensen@gipsa-lab.grenoble-inp.fr; raphaelle.roy@isae-supaero.fr; bertrand.rivet@gipsa-lab.grenoble-inp.fr; anna.tcherkassof@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr; anne.guerin@gipsa-lab.grenoble-inp.fr

¹ Univ. Grenoble Alpes, GIPSA-lab, CNRS, Grenoble, France, ² ISAE-SUPAERO, Toulouse, France, ³ Univ. Grenoble Alpes, LIP-PC2S, Grenoble, France

Objectives


- Study the **Emotional Facial Expressions** (EFE) processing: experimental data based on EEG activities synchronized with ocular fixations;
- Observations: **Event-Related Potential** (ERP) at the stimulus onset and **first Eye Fixation-Related Potential** (EFRP);
- Methodology: Estimation using the **General Linear Model** (GLM) because of responses **overlap** (Kristensen, et al., 2017) between the ERP at the stimulus onset and the first EFRP;
- Components linked to emotional processing: N170, EPN (Early Posterior Negativity), LPP (Late Positive Potential), (Schupp, et al. 2003; Recio, et al., 2011);
- **Focus on LPP**: elaborative processing and conscious recognition (Schupp et al., 2003).

Assumptions

- The **LPP latency** includes the **first fixation onset**;
- The LPP amplitude estimated by average on the signal time-locked at the stimulus onset is also function of the response at the first fixation that begins the **visual exploration** of the Emotional Facial Expression;
- **By GLM**: to be able to split what is due to the perception of the stimulus presentation **without eye movement** and what is due to the perception of the **first gazed region**;

Experiment

- 22 participants between 20 and 40 years old
- **DynEmo database**: actual people (not actors) expressing their emotions
→ **ecological material** (Tcherkassof et al., 2013)
- **Static stimuli**: Apex of the emotional dynamic response, evaluated by 20 judges.
- **Four conditions**: Disgust (12 stimuli), Surprise (12), Happiness (12), Neutral (24)



Co-registration: EEG and Eye-movements

Data acquisition

EEG

- BrainCap - 64 active electrodes
- Reference FCz - Ground AFz
- Sampling frequency: 1000 Hz

Eye-Tracker

- Eyelink 1000 (SR Research)
- Sampling frequency: 1000 Hz

Data pre-processing

Synchronization

- Time-alignment with common hardware triggers

Artifacts rejection

- *Semi-automated procedure*
- Frequency filtering: 1-70 Hz + 50 Hz notch filter
- Channels: Visual inspection & interpolation
- Ocular artifacts: SOBI algorithm, cancelation of sources most correlated to EOG
- Epochs: rejection (0.8% in average) based on a variance criterion (if > mean + 3 sd)

Statistical tests

- Repeated-measure ANOVA & Tukey post-hoc tests

EEG analysis on the first part using the labels of the recognized emotions from the second part

ERP and EFRP estimations by GLM

Estimation with GLM: ERP at the stimulus onset

- Overlap: ERP at the stimulus onset ($s(t)$) and EFRP at the first fixation onset ($a_1(t)$)
- Model for the i^{th} trial:
$$x_i(t) = s(t) + a_1(t - \tau_i^{(1)}) + \sum_{f=2} a_{2+}(t - \tau_i^{(f)}) + n(t)$$
- Matrix formulation:
$$x = D_s \cdot s + D_1 \cdot a_1 + D_{2+} \cdot a_{2+} + n$$

Input data (N trials)

- $\{x_i(t), i = 1..N\}$: observed time locked signals at the stimulus onset
- $\{\tau_i^{(1)}, i = 1..N\}$: latencies of the first fixation
- $\{\tau_i^{(f)}, f > 2, i = 1..N\}$: latencies of the following fixations

$D = [D_s, D_1, D_{2+}]^\dagger$
Toeplitz matrices with their respective latencies

Signals to estimate


- $s(t)$: potential evoked at the stimulus onset (ERP)
- $a_1(t)$: potential evoked at the stimulus onset (First EFRP)
- $a_{2+}(t)$: potential evoked at the stimulus onset (following EFRP)

$$\hat{a}^{GLM} = [s^\dagger, a_1^\dagger, a_{2+}^\dagger]^\dagger$$

Solution \hat{a}^{GLM} such as $\min(\|n\|_F^2) = \min(\|x - D_s \cdot s + D_1 \cdot a_1 + D_{2+} \cdot a_{2+}\|_F^2)$
→ $\hat{a}^{GLM} = (D^\dagger \cdot D)^{-1} \cdot D \cdot x$

Conclusions

- It is the first attempt to distinguish in the LPP response what comes from the stimulus presentation alone ($s(t)^{GLM}$). In contrast, the usual estimation ($s(t)^{Av}$) includes the very beginning of the EFE exploration (first fixation).
- Cognitive processing from the first fixation onset strengthens an activities pattern at left frontal site -more involved for positive EFE (Ahern, Schwartz, 1979)- becoming significant across EFE. But, at right frontal site -more involved for negative EFE-, another activities pattern, only elicited by the stimuli presentation, is at once significant across EFE.
- These findings are in line with faster and facilitated perceptual processing for negative EFE (Schupp, et al., 2004).



References


- Ahern, G. L., & Schwartz, G. E. (1979). Differential lateralization for positive versus negative emotion. *Neuropsychologia*, 17:693-698.
- Recio, G., Sommer, W., & Schacht, A. (2011). Electrophysiological correlates of perceiving and evaluating static and dynamic facial emotional expressions. *Brain Research*, 1376:66-75.
- Schupp, H. T., Junghöfer, M., Weike, A. I., & Hamm, A. O. (2003). Attention and emotion: an ERP analysis of facilitated emotional stimulus processing. *Neuroreport*, 14(8):1107-1110.
- Schupp, H. T., Öhman, A., Junghöfer, M., Weike, A. I., Stockburger, J., & Hamm, A. O. The facilitated processing of threatening faces: an ERP analysis. *Emotion*, 4(2):189-200.
- Tcherkassof, A., Dupré, D., Meillon, B., Mandran, N., Dubois, M., & Adam, J.-M. (2013). DynEmo: A video database of natural facial expressions of emotions. *The International Journal of Multimedia & Its Applications*, 5 (5), 61-80.

Acknowledgments



Behavioral results

Examples of scanpaths



Recognition rate, Fixations duration and first fixation latency → mean (sd)

	Neutral	Disgust	Surprise	Happiness
Recog. rate [%]	67.07 (18.74)	66.52 (17.66)	56.39 (16.64)	96.28 (5.26)
Fix. duration [ms]	309.00 (66.38)	309.77 (65.08)	310.86 (66.30)	297.67 (65.87)
1st fix. latency [ms]	275.03 (49.16) → before LPP latency [400-600] ms			


N170, EPN, LPP on $s(t)^{Av}$ and on $s(t)^{GLM}$

Two estimates


- $s(t)^{Av} = \bar{x}(t)$: Estimation by Average of the potential evoked at the stimulus onset, including activities at the first fixation onset
- $s(t)^{GLM} = s(t)$: Estimation by GLM of the potential evoked at the stimulus

9 virtual electrodes

LF	MF	RF
LC	MC	RC
LPO	MPO	RPO




Neutral; [400-600] ms



Topographic map of the LPP component

Statistical test from all emotions → ANOVA (2 estimates x 9 virtual electrodes)

	N170 [140-180]	EPN [230-350]	LPP [400-600]
$s^{Av} \neq s^{GLM}$	No	No	Yes on RC, MC, LPO, MPO & RPO




Statistical tests per estimate → 2 ANOVA (4 emotions x 9 virtual electrodes)

	s^{Av}	s^{GLM}
LPP [400-600]	Surprise < (Neutral = Disgust = Happiness) on Left frontal	Surprise > Disgust on Right Frontal
EMO x VE	F(24, 504) = 3.89; p < 0.001	F(24, 504) = 1, 93; p = 0.005

- Differences on emotions in Left Frontal site with $s(t)^{Av}$ and in Right Frontal site with $s(t)^{GLM}$

Early components on the first EFRP $a_1(t)^{GLM}$



Statistical results: ANOVA (3 emotions)

λ [70-110]	P2 [190-230]
No effect	Disgust > Happiness
ns	F(3,63) = 3.85; p = 0.013

- On occipital sites, the P2 component elicited at the first fixation is larger for Disgust than for Happiness

